WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

٧.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	v.	ONE	DER OF DETERMION FEMALE	
	Luis Carlos Corral-Fernandez	Case Number:	11-6001M	
present and		by a preponderance of the	ng was held on January 24, 2011. Defendant was evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
l final haran	and the social area that	FINDINGS OF FACT		
· · · ·	reponderance of the evidence that:			
		endant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
		The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
		If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant co	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
X	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to	appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade	law enforcement contact by	fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum	n of	years imprisonment.	
The at the time of	Court incorporates by reference the ma of the hearing in this matter, except as n	terial findings of the Pretrial S oted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defe No condition or combination of con	endant will flee.	e the appearance of the defendant as required.	
a corrections appeal. The of the United	defendant is committed to the custody is facility separate, to the extent practical defendant shall be afforded a reasonable distates or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the purp	of the Attorney General or hi ble, from persons awaiting or ble opportunity for private con the Government, the person	s/her designated representative for confinement in serving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.	
IT IS deliver a cop Court.	S ORDERED that should an appeal of th	nis detention order be filed w	ith the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to tone day prior to the hearing set before the District	
IT IS Services su	S FURTHER ORDERED that if a release fficiently in advance of the hearing beforthe potential third party custodian.	to a third party is to be consore the District Court to allow	idered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DA	TED this 25 th day of January, 2	2011.		
		Sun-		

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge